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The goal is that we have an ellipse, and want to render a nice smooth edge.
For proper AA, want to compute coverage of ellipse on each pixel. (We could use MSAA, but let’s assume it’s too slow or poorly supported)
Problem

\[ \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - r^2 < 0 \]

\[ \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - r^2 > 0 \]

Could use an implicit function. Positive means outside the ellipse, negative inside, 0 on the edge. But that just tells us if the pixel center is in or out, not coverage.
Can use \((1/2 - \text{signed distance})\) from edge to center of pixel, clamped between 0 and 1, to approximate coverage. Problem — general distance from an ellipse is an intractable problem.
One solution

Signed distance to a circle (at origin) is easy to compute

\[ d = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - r \]
One solution

So write a shader that renders a circle in a quad
One solution

And stretch and squash the quad to get an ellipse. This is effectively the same as rendering a square quad with a non-uniform scale transformation.
One (bad) solution

The problem is that then you end up with blurred edges in the stretched direction, and overly sharp edges in the shrunken direction. Here I’m using a unit circle, so everything is blurred.
One (bad) solution

If we take some isodistance curves, we can see what's going on.
One (bad) solution

After we squash/stretch our quad, we end up non-uniformly scaling our distance values as well.
What we’d like to do is apply the inverse of the transformation to the distance curves, to correct for this. But what would we apply our transformation to? We don’t have a vector to transform.
Better solution

$$d \approx \frac{f(x, y)}{\| \nabla f(x, y) \|}$$

$$\approx \frac{f(x, y)}{\| (\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y) \|}$$

However, there is an approximation to the distance for any implicit function (via Gabriel Taubin), which is to divide the function value by the length of the gradient at that point. This approximation is only good within a distance of about 1 – but that’s okay, because that’s all we need to measure with some accuracy. Beyond that, we know that a pixel is either all in or all out.
Better solution

\[ d \approx \frac{f}{\|T^{-1} \cdot \nabla f\|} \]

If we have a transformation \( T \), we can transform the gradient by the inverse to get a corrected distance.
Better solution

\[ d \approx \frac{f}{\|J \cdot \nabla f\|} \]

For non-affine transformations, we can’t get a general inverse across the entire quad, so we can compute the Jacobian at each point (using the shader differential operators).
So for our ellipse or general circles, it looks like this. This is the formula for a unit circle. We apply a transformation to it to stretch into whatever circle or ellipse we want.
And we can use this to get all sorts of ellipses
Can use this trick for any implicit function. Can use it for space curves (see Loop–Blinn)
Implicit function can also be stored in a texture, i.e. distance field (see Valve). Note: all of the shaders I've seen do the correction improperly. See Skia code for correct antialiasing.
The End
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